Traits as Objects in Grace

Andrew P. Black Portland State University



minigrace

00				Mir	nigrace JavaScrip	ot backend					H.
A b A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A										C Reade	
😁 🛄 🛄 Mir	igrace SIGPLAN Aw	ards Grad	iance Schiller	Street House Fac	ulty Wiki TinyU	RL! SCG Bibliog	raphy SIGPLAN M	Minutes Curric	ulum ShopSafe	NewOOL	X
Magic I	Redlin Pa	andoc	PharoC	Object	Portlan	Balliol	Library	The 25	myGar	Minigr	≫ +
1 print "Hel 2 def Andrew 3 def fo 4 method 5 }	lo, world!" = object { rname is public, address { "black	readable = @cs.pdx.ea	= "Andrew" lu" }								
Compile Run e		Target:	Investoriat				Confidential			7005	

Disclaimer

The views expressed here are my own, and may not be representative of those of the Grace design team

Disagreement has had a powerful beneficial effect on the design of Grace



Background on Traits

- "Traits" = Smalltalk traits as described by Schärli *et al.* [ECOOP 2004, TOPLAS 2006]:
 - algebra of method combination
 - a trait is a set of named methods with operations
 +, -, @ and uses
 - traits have no state; just *pure* methods and bindings to self
- There are other definitions of trait, e.g.,
 - Curry et al. [SIGOA 1982]
 - Reppy & Turon [ECOOP 2007]







What's Good about Traits

- "Despite their relative simplicity, traits offer a surprisingly rich calculus." [Reppy & Turon 2007]
- "more nimble and lighter-weight than either multiple inheritance or mixins" [ibid.]
 - but you can do MI and mixin-like stuff with traits
- Separates the unit of reuse (the trait) from the generator of objects (the class)
 - Classes struggle to fill both roles:

Fine-grained, often incomplete

Complete, monolithic



Grace doesn't have Traits

and I agreed to this!

- Why?
 - Designed for teaching, not large-scale software engineering
 - So code reuse is not so important (?)
 - Traits are not "mainstream"
 - We need to teach what is in common use
 - Inheritance is "mainstream" object-orientation
 - So Grace *must*. contain inheritance
 - Grace is small and simple
 - So it should not have two reuse mechanisms



Andrew's working hypothesis

- It's possible, in Grace to:
 - provide something very like traits using objects
 - build something very like inheritance out of traits
 - build more than one variety of inheritance
- This is a Good Idea because:
 - Core Grace would have one reuse mechanism, but
 - Grace could be used to teach a variety of reuse mechanisms



Objects in Grace

- Everything is an Object
 - but every object is not an instance of a class
- Instead: objects are self-contained
- Objects are created by executing an object constructor







X



























Notice:

- Nothing in an object but methods
- No "instance variables" per se
 - methods can capture any def or var in scope
- Objects can be created with interesting fields
 - makes it simple to define simple objects
 - the only way to create objects with def fields



An aside on self

- In the previous figure, self is treated just like any other bound variable
- Alternative: self means "the receiver"
- What's the difference?



An aside on self

- In the previous figure, self is treated just like any other bound variable
- Alternative: self means "the receiver"
- What's the difference?

object {
 def mouseAction is public, readable = { self.click }
 method click is public { self.highlight; self.dolt }
 method dolt = { ... }



from one to many



from one to many



from one to many

object { def x:Number is public, readable = 2 def y:Number is public, readable = 3 method distanceTo (other:Point) → Number { ((x - other.x)^2 + (y - other.y)^2) } }

def aPoint = object { method x(xcoord)y(ycoord) { object { def x:Number is public, readable = xcoord def y:Number is public, readable = ycoord method distanceTo (other:Point) → Number { ((x - other.x)^2 + (y - other.y)^2) } } }





aPoint:



aPoint:

methods x()y()



aPoint:

methods x()y()

aPoint is a class object



aPoint.x(2)y(3):



aPoint.x(2)y(3):









15

Inheritance, Version I

- Inheritance from objects
 - restricted to "definitively static" objects, to make the job of the static type-checker easier

```
object {
    inherits aPoint.x(2)y(3)
    def color is public, readable = aColor.black
}
```



object { inherits aPoint.x(2)y(3) def color is public, readable = aColor.black }



object {
 inherits aPoint.x(2)y(3)
 def color is public, readable = aColor.black
}

1. Copy superobject



object {
 inherits aPoint.x(2)y(3)
 def color is public, readable = aColor.black
}










Conceptual Problem

• Every object from which one might wish to inherit must have a copy method



Practical Problem

• Referential Transparency: creation of superobject is oblivious to its context

```
def aPoint = object {
   method x(xcoord)y(ycoord) {
     object {
       def x:Number is public, readable = xcoord
       def y:Number is public, readable = ycoord
       method distanceTo (other:Point) → Number {
          ((x - other.x)^{2} + (y - other.y)^{2})
       registry.add(self)
     } } }
```



Practical Problem

• Referential Transparency: creation of superobject is oblivious to its context

```
def aPoint = object {
   method x(xcoord)y(ycoord) {
     object {
       def x:Number is public, readable = xcoord
       def y:Number is public, readable = ycoord
       method distanceTo (other:Point) → Number {
          ((x - other.x)^{2} + (y - other.y)^{2})
      registry.add(self)
                                        registration
                                   part of object creation
```

Problem: Referential Transparency

• registry.add(self) registers the super-object.

- this object is copied, then dropped



Inheritance, Version II

• Inheritance via object mutation

- restricted to "definitively static" objects
- restricted to "fresh" objects, to hide mutation

object { inherits aPoint.x(2)y(3) def color is public, readable = aColor.black





1. Start with actual superobject













• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*, or *copies*



• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*, or *copies*

class SuccessfulMatch.new(result', bindings') {
 inherits true
 def result is public, readable = result'
 def bindings is public, readable = bindings'
 method asString {
 "SuccessfulMatch(result = {result}, bindings = {bindings})"
 }
}



• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*, or *copies*

class SuccessfulMatch.new(result', bindings') {
 inherits true
 def result is public, readable = result'
 def bindings is public, readable = bindings'
 method asString {
 "SuccessfulMatch(result = {result}, bindings = {bindings})"
 }
}



• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*, or *copies*

class SuccessfulMatch.new(result', bindings') {

inherits true

def result is public, readable = result'
def bindings is public, readable = bindings'
method asString {

"SuccessfulMatch(result = {result}, bindings = {bindings})"



• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*, or *copies*



- "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*, or *copies*
- If we eliminate the freshness requirement, we are visibly mutating "immutable" objects



• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*



• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*

```
def AssertionTrait = object {
    method assert(bb: Boolean)description(str) is public {
        if (! bb) ...
    }
    method deny(bb: Boolean)description(str) is public {
        assert (! bb) description (str)
    }
    ...
}
```



• "Freshness" requirement means that you must inherit from object *constructors*

```
def AssertionTrait = object {
    method assert(bb: Boolean)description(str) is public {
        if (! bb) ...
    }
    method deny(bb: Boolean)description(str) is public {
        assert (! bb) description (str)
    }
    ...
}
```



Conclusion: copy is essential

- Both versions of inheritance need copy as a primitive (built-in) method
- What does copy mean?





















 $s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \in \{ obj_1, obj_3, method_1, \}$



method₂, method₃ }



 $s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \in \{ obj_1, obj_3, method_1, \}$



method₂, method₃ }



 $s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \in \{ obj_1, obj_3, method_1, \}$



method₂, method₃ }

- $m, n \in N$ is a set of Nodes (objects, variables, methods)
- $x \in L$, a set of labels
- $p, q \in E \subset (N \times L \times N)$ is a set of Edges (pointers, object references, variable references).
- If $\langle m, x, n \rangle \in E$, then node *m* has an edge labeled *x* leading to node *n*, and we write $m \cdot x = n$
- A path (of length k) $\vec{x} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \in L^k$ is valid from a root node n_0 exactly when $\exists n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \in N$ such that $\langle n_0, x_1, n_1 \rangle \in E, \langle n_1, x_2, n_2 \rangle \in E, \ldots, \langle n_{k-1}, x_k, n_k \rangle \in E$. We write $n_0 \cdot \vec{x} = n_k$
- F is a set of *fresh* nodes
- $s \in N \rightarrow Boolean$ is a shallowness function; if s(n) then n should be copied, otherwise it should be shared.



- 1. $s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) \in F$
- 2. $\neg s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) = n$
- 3. $\forall n \in N, \vec{x} \in L^*, copy_s(n.\vec{x}) \equiv copy_s(n).\vec{x}$

The last equivalence means that the path on the rhs is valid exactly when the path on the lhs is valid, and that when both are valid, the object graphs commute.





- 1. $s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) \in F$
- 2. $\neg s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) = n$
- 3. $\forall n \in N, \vec{x} \in L^*, copy_s(n.\vec{x}) \equiv copy_s(n).\vec{x}$

The last equivalence means that the path on the rhs is valid exactly when the path on the lhs is valid, and that when both are valid, the object graphs commute.



- 1. $s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) \in F$
- 2. $\neg s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) = n$
- 3. $\forall n \in N, \vec{x} \in L^*, copy_s(n.\vec{x}) \equiv copy_s(n).\vec{x}$

The last equivalence means that the path on the rhs is valid exactly when the path on the lhs is valid, and that when both are valid, the object graphs commute.



Paths from obj_1 : $obj_1.m_3.self = obj_1$ $obj_1.m_1.x = obj_2$ Portland State

- 1. $s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) \in F$
- 2. $\neg s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) = n$
- 3. $\forall n \in N, \vec{x} \in L^*, copy_s(n.\vec{x}) \equiv copy_s(n).\vec{x}$

The last equivalence means that the path on the rhs is valid exactly when the path on the lhs is valid, and that when both are valid, the object graphs commute.


• $copy_s: N \to N \cup F$ is a function with the following properties:

- 1. $s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) \in F$
- 2. $\neg s(n) \equiv copy_s(n) = n$
- 3. $\forall n \in N, \vec{x} \in L^*, copy_s(n.\vec{x}) \equiv copy_s(n).\vec{x}$

The last equivalence means that the path on the rhs is valid exactly when the path on the lhs is valid, and that when both are valid, the object graphs commute.



29



Portland State

Which objects to copy and which to share?

 $s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \in \{ \text{ obj}_1, \text{ obj}_3, \text{ method}_1, \\ \text{method}_2, \text{ method}_3 \}$





Portland State

 $s(x) = x \in \{ obj_1, obj_3, method_1, method_2, method_3 \}$





P

Portland State

$$s(x) = x \in \{ obj_1, obj_3, method_1, method_2, method_3 \}$$

30



 $s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \in \{ obj_1, obj_3, method_1, \}$



method₂, method₃ $\}$ ³¹



 $s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \in \{ obj_1, obj_3, method_1, \}$



method₂, method₃ }

31

Definition of Copy

- Nothing you didn't know
 - but I've never seen this formalized
 - and we got it wrong in the Grace compiler
- For some *s*, there can be no correct *copys*
- Copy can be implemented in Grace
 - with sufficient meta-level operations
 - includes reflecting on the bound variables of methods.



Trait Proposal

- trait { ... } means the same as object { ... }
 - with the restriction that methods can't close over variables other than self
 - no useful object-local variables
- using a trait is essentially equivalent to delegating to the trait methods
 - self is bound dynamically to the object receiving the method request



```
class SuccessfulMatch.new(result', bindings') {
    inherits true
    def result = result'
    def bindings = bindings'
    method asString {
        "SuccessfulMatch(result = {result}, bindings = {bindings})"
    }
}
```



```
class SuccessfulMatch.new(result', bindings') {
    inherits true
    def result = result'
    def bindings = bindings'
    method asString {
        "SuccessfulMatch(result = {result}, bindings = {bindings})"
    }
}
```

- Perfectly OK true has no state
 - just methods like:

method or(another:Block) { self }
method and(another:Block) { another.apply }



Objects as Traits

- What about objects with captured state?
 all objects using them get to share the same state
- Not what you want?
 - copy the object, or generate a fresh object





```
class engine.ofSize(volume) {
    uses serialNumber
    def displacement is public, readable = volume
    def cylinders is public, readable = 6
```



class engine.ofSize(volume) {
 uses serialNumber
 def displacement is public, readable = volume
 def cylinders is public, readable = 6

• Every engine has the same serial



class engine.ofSize(volume) {
 uses serialNumber
 def displacement is public, readable = volume
 def cylinders is public, readable = 6

• Every engine has the same serial





class engine.ofSize(volume) {
 uses serialNumber.new
 def displacement is public, readable = volume
 def cylinders is public, readable = 6



class engine.ofSize(volume) {
 uses serialNumber.new
 def displacement is public, readable = volume
 def cylinders is public, readable = 6



class engine.ofSize(volume) {
 uses serialNumber.new
 def displacement is public, readable = volume
 def cylinders is public, readable = 6



class engine.ofSize(volume) {
 uses serialNumber.new
 def displacement is public, readable = volume
 def cylinders is public, readable = 6

• Every engine has a new serial



class engine.ofSize(volume) {
 uses serialNumber.new
 def displacement is public, readable = volume
 def cylinders is public, readable = 6

• Every engine has a new serial



- Object *initialization* is not the same as object *creation*.
- Smalltalk makes this clear:

Behavior → new "Answer a new initialized instance of the receiver (which is a class) ..." ↑ self basicNew initialize

- Behavior >> basicNew creates the object
- Instance >> initialize assigns to fields, registers it, etc.



- Easy: inherit the initialize method
 - in Smalltalk, this is a real method
 - in Java, it's a "special" method called "<init>"
- *Pharo* Smalltalk and Java classes both invoke initialization automatically
 - after the object has been created
- If we want to inherit initialization in Grace, we can do the same thing



def initializable is public, readable = trait {
 method create { done }
 method new is public {
 def instance = self.create
 instance.initialize
 instance
}

• Captures the separation of creation and initialization as a trait



}

def initializable is public, readable 11 method create { done } method new is public 1 def instance = self.create instance.initialize instance

new method creates and initializes

 Captures the separation of creation and initialization as a trait



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
        world.register(self) }
     method minimize is public { ... }
                                            }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```



```
gets us the create
def aWindow = object {
                                       and new methods
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
       world.register(self) }
     method minimize is public { ... }
                                           }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
        world.register(self) }
     method minimize is public { ... }
                                            }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
        world.register(self) }
     method minimize is public { ... }
                                            }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
                                              creates and
     method initialize is public {
       world.register(self) }
                                        sets bounds of a new
     method minimize is public { ... }
                                               window
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
                                 aWindow.withBounds(aRectangle.
}
                                 topLeft(100@100)diagonal(50@50))
```



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
        world.register(self) }
     method minimize is public { ... }
                                            }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
        world.register(self) }
                                            }
     method minimize is public { ... }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```

aWindow.new



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
        world.register(self) }
     method minimize is public { ... }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```

creates a new, initialized window with undefined bounds

aWindow.new



```
def aWindow = object {
uses initializable
method create is override {
  object {
     var bounds is public, readable, writable
     method paint(c) is public { ... }
     method initialize is public {
        world.register(self) }
                                            }
     method minimize is public { ... }
}
method withBounds(b) is public {
  def instance = self.create
  instance.bounds := b
  instance.initialize
}
```

aWindow.new



What About Classes?

Currently

```
class A.name {
    inherits S
    defs, vars and methods }
```

means

```
def A = object {
    method name { object {
        inherits S
        defs, vars and methods }
    }}
We can change this!
```



Summary

- Don't "build in" complex features
- Start with general-purpose building blocks
 - Complex features can be fabricated from the building blocks
 - They will inevitably be consistent

